arXiv:1204.6116v1 [math.DG] 27 Apr 2012

The Stability of Self-Shrinkers of Mean Curvature Flow in Higher Codimension

Yng-Ing Lee* and Yang-Kai Lue**

*Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
National Center for Theoretical Sciences, Taipei Office, Taipei, Taiwan
email: yilee@math.ntu.edu.tw
**Department of Mathematics, National Taiwan University, Taipei, Taiwan
email: luf961@yahoo.com.tw

Abstract

In this paper, we generalize Colding and Minicozzi's work [5] on the stability of self-shrinkers in the hypersurface case to higher codimensional cases. The first and second variation formulae of the F-functional are derived and an equivalent condition to the stability in general codimension is found. Moreover, we show that the closed Lagrangian self-shrinkers given by Anciaux in [2] are unstable.

1 Introduction

Let $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be an isometric immersion of an n-dimensional manifold Σ in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^m$. The mean curvature flow of X is a family of immersions $X_t : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^m$ that satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}X_t(x)\right)^{\perp} = H(x,t)$$
$$X_0 = X$$

where $H(x,t)$ is the mean curvature vector of $X_t(\Sigma)$ at $X_t(x)$ and $v^{\perp}$ denotes the projection of v into the normal space of $X_t(\Sigma)$. Mean curvature flow of a submanifold in a Riemannian manifold can also be defined similarly. Because the mean curvature vector points in the direction in which the area decreases most rapidly, mean curvature flow is thus a canonical way to construct minimal submanifolds. It also improves the geometric properties of a object along the flow (e.g., see [7])

A submanifold Σ in $\mathbb{R}^m$ is called a *self-shrinker* if its position vector $X : \Sigma \to \mathbb{R}^m$ satisfies

$$H = -\frac{1}{2}X^{\perp}.$$

The terminology comes from the fact that $\sqrt{1-t}X(\Sigma)$ is a solution of mean curvature flow, i.e., a self-shrinker evolves homothetically along mean curvature flow in a shrinking way. Moreover, self-shrinkers describe all possible central blow-up limits of a finite-time singularity of the mean curvature flow. This follows from Huisken's monotonicity formula [8], and its generalization to type II singularity by Ilmanen [10] and White. Singularities will occur in general along mean curvature flow and are obstacles to continue the flow. It is therefore an important issue to understand singularities and the candidates of their blow-up limits, self-shrinkers.

Standard sphere $\mathbb{S}^n(\sqrt{2n})$ and cylinder $\mathbb{S}^k(\sqrt{2k}) \times \mathbb{R}^{n-k}$ are simple examples of self-shrinkers in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Abresch and Langer [1] found all immersed closed self-shrinkers in the plane. For other complete hypersurfaces case, Huisken [9] classified all self-shrinkers with nonnegative mean curvature and bounded geometry. The bounded geometry condition is later weakened to polynomial volume growth by Colding and Minicozzi in [5]. On the other hand, many other different co-dimension one self-shrinkers are found (e.g., see [3]), and a classification of all self-shrinkers is not expected. Our understanding on self-shrinkers in higher co-dimension is even more limited. Smoczyk obtained a classification for self-shrinkers with parallel principal normal $\nu \equiv H/|H|$ and bounded geometry in [12]. Various different families of Lagrangian self-shrinkers, which are of middle dimension, are constructed in [2], [11] and [6].

Adapted from the back heat kernel introduced by Huisken in [8], Colding and Minicozzi [5] defined a functional F by

$$F(\Sigma, x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t}^{n}} \int_{\Sigma} e^{\frac{-|X-x|^2}{4t}} d\mu, \tag{1}$$

for any submanifold $X : \Sigma^n \to \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $t > 0$. One of the main properties of this functional is that (Σ, x_0, t_0) is a critical point of F iff Σ

satisfies $H = -\frac{(X-x_0)^\perp}{2t_0}$. Especially, it is a self-shrinker when $x_0 = 0$ and $t_0 = 1$. They proved that if an n-dimensional complete smooth embedded self-shrinker Σ^n without boundary and with polynomial volume growth in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ is F-stable with respect to compactly supported variations, then it must be the round sphere or a hyperplane. Here F-stable means that for every compactly supported smooth variation Σ_s with $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$, there exist variations x_s of 0 and t_s of 1 such that $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s^2}F(\Sigma_s, x_s, t_s) \geq 0$ at $s = 0$. The importance of the study is that roughly speaking, the blow-up near type-I singularity of mean curvature flow for generic initial data gives stable self-shrinkers (see [5] for exact statement.)

In this paper, we intend to generalize Colding and Minicozzi's work [5] to higher co-dimensional cases. The domain of the functional F is now (Σ, x, t) for $\Sigma^n \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $t > 0$. Colding and Minicozzi's classification on stable self-shrinkers in co-dimension one is first to conclude that the mean curvature function h is the first eigenvalue of an elliptic operator, it then implies $h \geq 0$, and Huisken's classification of self-shrinkers with nonnegative h will lead to the conclusion. Although the counter part of Huisken's result in higher co-dimension is still not available, we can also pin down the stability of self-shrinkers in higher co-dimension to the mean curvature vector being the first vector-valued eigenfunction for an elliptic system. More precisely, the equivalent condition of stabilities is as in the following Theorems.

Theorem 4 *Suppose $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is an n-dimensional smooth closed self-shrinker $H = -\frac{X^\perp}{2}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) Σ is F-stable.

(ii) $\int_\Sigma \langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}\,d\mu \geq 0$ for any smooth normal vector field V which satisfies

$$\int_\Sigma \langle V, H\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}\,d\mu = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_\Sigma V e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}\,d\mu = \overrightarrow{0},$$

where $L^\perp V = \Delta^\perp V + \langle A_{ij}, V\rangle g^{ki}g^{jl}A_{kl} + \frac{V}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^\perp_{X^\top} V$ is a second order elliptic operator and A_{ij} is the second fundamental form as definition in (2), and $\nabla^\perp$ is the normal connection of Σ.

For the complete case, we define

$$S_\Sigma = \{V \in N\Sigma \big| \ \ |V|(X) \text{ and } |\nabla^\perp V|(X) \text{ are of polynomial growth }\}.$$

Note that $V \in S_\Sigma$ is not necessarily with compact support. The equivalent condition for the stability of F in the complete case becomes

Theorem 5 *Let* $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ *be an* n*-dimensional smooth complete self-shrinker* $H = -\frac{X^\perp}{2}$ *without boundary. Suppose that the second fundamental form* A *of* Σ *is of polynomial growth and* Σ *has polynomial volume growth. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) Σ *is* F*-stable.*

(ii) $\int_\Sigma \langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu \geq 0$ *for any smooth normal vector field* V *in* S_Σ *which satisfies*

$$\int_\Sigma \langle V, H\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Sigma V e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = \overrightarrow{0}.$$

Using Theorem 4 and 5, we immediately can conclude that the product of any two non-trivial self-shrinkers, which is also a self-shrinker, is F-unstable.

Corollary 1 *Suppose* $\Sigma_i^{n_i} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, *are smooth closed self-shrinkers which satisfy* $H_i = -\frac{X_i^\perp}{2}$, *where* X_i *are the position vectors of* Σ_i*. Then* $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2}$ *is a self-shrinker and is* F*-unstable.*

Corollary 2 *Let* $\Sigma_i^{n_i} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, *be two smooth complete self-shrinkers without boundary which satisfy* $H_i = -\frac{X_i^\perp}{2} \neq 0$, *where* X_i *is the position vector of* Σ_i*. Suppose that each* Σ_i *has polynomial volume growth and the second fundamental form of* Σ_i *is of polynomial growth. Then* $\Sigma_1 \times \Sigma_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2}$ *is a self-shrinker and is* F*-unstable.*

Note that we in fact allow the self-shrinkers to be immersed in our discussion. The examples $\mathbb{S}^n(\sqrt{2n})$ and $\mathbb{R}^n$ are still stable self-shrinkers in $\mathbb{R}^m$, but the situation for all other higher co-dimensional examples is not clear. We employ the above equivalent condition to investigate the F-stability of the Lagrangian self-shrinkers constructed by Anciaux in [2] in Section 4. These n-dimensional self-shrinkers in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $n \geq 2$, are expressed as $\gamma(s)\psi(\sigma)$, where $\psi : M^{n-1} \to \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ is a minimal Legendrian immersion and γ is a complex-valued function that satisfies the system of ordinary differential equations (27). We prove that

Theorem 6 *Anciaux's closed examples as described in Lemma 1 is* F*-unstable.*

Since Anciaux's examples are Lagrangian in $\mathbb{C}^n$, it is natural to ask whether these examples are still F-unstable under the restricted Lagrangian variations. We have the following

Theorem 7 *Anciaux's closed examples is* F*-unstable under Lagrangian variations for the following cases*

(i) *$n = 2$ or $n \geq 7$,*

(ii) *$2 < n < 7$, and $E \in [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}E_{max}, E_{max}]$,*

*where E and E_{max} are described in (*28*).*

Acknowledgements: The authors are grateful to Mu-Tao Wang for his constant support and interest in this work. The first author also like to thank Jacob Bernstein's discussions.

2 The 1st and 2nd variation formulae of F

2.1 Notations and preliminaries

Let $X : \Sigma^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a smooth isometric immersion of a submanifold of codimension $m - n$. If $\{e_i\}$ and $\{e_\alpha\}$ are orthonormal frames for the tangent bundle $T\Sigma$ and the normal bundle $N\Sigma$, respectively, then the coefficients of the second fundamental form and the mean curvature vector are defined to be

$$\begin{aligned} A_{ij} &= A^\alpha_{ij} e_\alpha \equiv \langle \overline{\nabla}_{e_i} e_j, e_\alpha \rangle e_\alpha \\ \text{and} \quad H &= H^\alpha e_\alpha \equiv A_{ii}, \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where by convention we are summing over repeated indices and $\overline{\nabla}$ is the standard connection of the ambient Euclidean space. For a submanifold B in an ambient manifold C, we use $A^{B,C}$ and $H^{B,C}$ to denote the associated second fundamental form and mean curvature vector, respectively. When the ambient space is $\mathbb{C}^n$, we denote them as A^B (or A) and H^B (or H) for simplicity. Given a normal vector field V in $N\Sigma$, $\langle A, V \rangle$ is a $(2,0)-$tensor and $|\langle A, V \rangle|^2$ is defined as $\sum\limits_{i,j=1}^{n} \langle A_{ij}, V \rangle^2$. When Σ is a hypersurface, the mean curvature vector H and the second fundamental form reduce to the function $h = -\langle H, \mathbf{n} \rangle$ and the 2-tensor $h_{ij} = -\langle A_{ij}, \mathbf{n} \rangle$, respectively. Here $\mathbf{n}$ is the unit outer normal vector of Σ.

Definition 1. Let Σ be a submanifold in $\mathbb{R}^m$ and $B_r(0)$ be the geodesic ball in $\mathbb{R}^m$ with radius r. Σ is said to have *polynomial volume growth* if there are constants C_1, C_2 and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for all $r \geq 0$

$$Vol(B_r(0) \cap \Sigma) \leq C_1 r^k + C_2.$$

Definition 2. A normal vector field V (or the second fundamental form A) of Σ is of *polynomial growth* if there are constants C_1, C_2 and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ so that for all $r \geq 0$

$$|V| \leq C_1 r^k + C_2 \quad (\text{or} \quad |A| \leq C_1 r^k + C_2) \quad \text{on} \quad B_r(0) \cap \Sigma.$$

The space of all normal vector fields with polynomial growth is denoted by $P\Gamma(N\Sigma)$. For any two normal vector fields V and W in $P\Gamma(N\Sigma)$, its weighted inner product, denoted as $\langle V, W \rangle_e$, is defined to be $\int_\Sigma \langle V, W \rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu$. The space $(P\Gamma(N\Sigma), \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_e)$ is called the *weighted inner product space.*

2.2 The first variation formula of F

Colding and Minicozzi derived the first and second variation formulae of the F functionals of a hypersurface in [5]. These can be generalized to higher co-dimensional cases by similar calculation. We derive the first variation formula of F in the following Theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be an n-dimentional complete manifold without boundary which has polynomial volume growth. Suppose that $\Sigma_s \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a normal variation of Σ, x_s, t_s are variations of x_0 and t_0, and*

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_s}{\partial s} = V, \quad \frac{\partial x_s}{\partial s} = y, \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{\partial t_s}{\partial s} = \tau,$$

where V has compact support. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} F(\Sigma_s, x_s, t_s) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_s}^n} \int_{\Sigma_s} \Big(-\langle V, H_s + \frac{X_s - x_s}{2t_s} \rangle + \tau \big(\frac{|X_s - x_s|^2}{4t_s^2} - \frac{n}{2t_s} \big) \\ + \frac{\langle X_s - x_s, y \rangle}{2t_s} \Big) e^{\frac{-|X_s - x_s|^2}{4t_s}} d\mu, \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where X_s is the position vector of Σ_s and H_s is its mean curvature vector.

Proof. From the first variation formula for area, we know that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(d\mu) = -\langle H_s, V \rangle d\mu. \tag{4}$$

The variation of the weight $\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_s}^n} e^{-|X_s - x_s|^2/4t_s}$ have terms coming from the variation of X_s, the variation of x_s and the variation of t_s, respectively. Using the following equations

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_s}\log\big((4\pi t_s)^{-n/2}e^{-\frac{|X_s-x_s|^2}{4t_s}}\big)=\frac{-n}{2t_s}+\frac{|X_s-x_s|^2}{4t_s^2},$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_s}\log\big((4\pi t_s)^{-n/2}e^{-\frac{|X_s-x_s|^2}{4t_s}}\big)=\frac{X_s-x_s}{2t_s}$$

$$\text{and}\quad\frac{\partial}{\partial X_s}\log\big((4\pi t_s)^{-n/2}e^{-\frac{|X_s-x_s|^2}{4t_s}}\big)=-\frac{X_s-x_s}{2t_s},$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\log\big((4\pi t_s)^{-n/2}e^{-\frac{|X_s-x_s|^2}{4t_s}}\big)\\&=-\frac{\langle X_s-x_s,V\rangle}{2t_s}+\tau(\frac{|X_s-x_s|^2}{4t_s^2}-\frac{n}{2t_s})+\frac{1}{2t_s}\langle X_s-x_s,y\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (4) gives (3). □

Definition 3. We will call (Σ,x_0,t_0) a *critical point* of F if it is critical with respect to all normal variations which have compact support in Σ and all variations in x and t.

From the definition of F in (1), we have $F(\Sigma,x,t)=F(\frac{\Sigma-x}{\sqrt{t}},0,1)$ and it is easy to see the following property:

$$\begin{aligned}&(\Sigma,x_0,t_0)\text{ is a critical point of }F\text{ if and only if }(\frac{\Sigma-x_0}{\sqrt{t_0}},0,1)\\&\text{is a critical point of }F.\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

Therefore, we only consider the case $x_0=0$, $t_0=1$. In the case of hypersurfaces, Colding and Minicozzi proved that, $(\Sigma,0,1)$ is a critical point of F if Σ satisfies that $h=\frac{\langle X,\mathbf{n}\rangle}{2}$. Their result, when written in the vector form $H=-\frac{X^\perp}{2}$, also holds for higher co-dimensional cases. The proof needs following propositions.

Proposition 1. *If $\Sigma\subset\mathbb{R}^m$ is an n-dimensional complete submanifold with $H=-\frac{X^\perp}{2}$, then*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}X_i&=-\frac{1}{2}X_i\quad\textit{and}\\\mathcal{L}|X|^2&=2n-|X|^2.\end{aligned}\tag{6}$$

Here X_i is the i-th component of the position vector X, i.e., $X_i=\langle X,\partial_i\rangle$ and the linear operator $\mathcal{L}f=\Delta f-\frac{1}{2}\langle X,\nabla f\rangle=e^{\frac{|X|^2}{4}}div(e^{\frac{-|X|^2}{4}}\nabla f)$.

Proposition 2. *If $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is an n-dimensional complete submanifold, $\partial\Sigma = \emptyset$, Σ has polynomial volume growth, and $H = -\frac{X^\perp}{2}$, then*

$$\int_\Sigma X e^{\frac{-|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = \overrightarrow{0} = \int_\Sigma X|X|^2 e^{\frac{-|X|^2}{4}} d\mu \quad \textit{and}$$
$$\int_\Sigma (|X|^2 - 2n) e^{\frac{-|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = 0. \tag{7}$$

Moreover, if $W \in \mathbb{R}^m$ is a constant vector, then

$$\int_\Sigma \langle X, W\rangle^2 e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = 2\int_\Sigma |W^\top|^2 e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu. \tag{8}$$

These propositions were proved by Colding and Minicozzi in the case of hypersurfaces (see Lemma 3.20 and Lemma 3.25 in [5]). We omit the proofs here because the argument is similar. Combining (3), (5) and (7), we get

Proposition 3. *For any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$, (Σ, x_0, t_0) is a critical point of F if and only if $H = -\frac{(X-x_0)^\perp}{2t_0}$.*

2.3 The general second variation formula of F

Theorem 2. *Let Σ be an n-dimensional complete manifold without boundary which has polynomial volume growth. Suppose that Σ_s is a normal variation of Σ, x_s, t_s are variations of x_0 and t_0, and*

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_s}{\partial s} = V, \quad \frac{\partial x_s}{\partial s} = y, \quad \frac{\partial t_s}{\partial s} = \tau, \quad \frac{\partial^2 x_s}{\partial s^2} = y', \quad \textit{and} \quad \frac{\partial^2 t_s}{\partial s^2} = \tau',$$

where V has compact support. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2}(\Sigma, x_0, t_0)\\
=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_0}^n}\int_\Sigma e^{-\frac{|X-x_0|^2}{4t_0}}\Big\{-\langle V, L^\perp_{x_0,t_0}V\rangle + \frac{\langle X-x_0, V\rangle}{t_0^2}\tau + \frac{\langle V, y\rangle}{t_0}\\
&-\frac{(|X-x_0|^2 - nt_0)\tau^2}{2t_0^3} - \frac{|y|^2}{2t_0} - \frac{\tau\langle X-x_0, y\rangle}{t_0^2}\\
&+\Big(-\langle V, H + \frac{X-x_0}{2t_0}\rangle + \tau(\frac{|X-x_0|^2}{4t_0^2} - \frac{n}{2t_0}) + \langle\frac{X-x_0}{2t_0}, y\rangle\Big)^2\\
&-\langle\overline{\nabla}^\perp_V V, H + \frac{X-x_0}{2t_0}\rangle + \tau'(\frac{|X-x_0|^2}{4t_0} - \frac{n}{2t_0}) + \frac{\langle X-x_0, y'\rangle}{2t_0}\Big\}d\mu,
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $L^{\perp}_{x_0,t_0}V = \Delta^{\perp}V + \langle A_{ij}, V\rangle g^{ki}g^{jl}A_{kl} + \frac{V}{2t_0} - \frac{1}{2t_0}\nabla^{\perp}_{(X-x_0)^{\top}}V$ *and* A_{ij} *is the second fundamental form as definition in (2).*

Proof. Apply one more derivative on equation (3), it gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2}(\Sigma, x_0, t_0)\\
=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi t_0}^n}\int_{\Sigma} e^{-\frac{|X-x_0|}{4t_0}}\Big\{-\langle V, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(H_s + \frac{X_s - x_s}{2t_s})\Big|_{s=0}\rangle\\
&+\tau\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\frac{|X_s - x_s|^2}{4t_s^2} - \frac{n}{2t_s})\Big|_{s=0} + \langle\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\frac{X_s - x_s}{2t_s})\Big|_{s=0}, y\rangle\\
&+\Big(-\langle V, H + \frac{X-x_0}{2t_0}\rangle + \tau(\frac{|X-x_0|^2}{4t_0^2} - \frac{n}{2t_0}) + \langle(\frac{X-x_0}{2t_0}), y\rangle\Big)^2\\
&-\langle V', (H + \frac{X-x_0}{2t_0})\rangle + \tau'(\frac{|X-x_0|^2}{4t_0^2} - \frac{n}{2t_0}) + \langle(\frac{X-x_0}{2t_0}), y'\rangle\Big\}d\mu. \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the derivation of the second variation formula for the area, we have

$$\langle(\frac{\partial H_s}{\partial s}), V\rangle = \langle\Delta^{\perp}V + \langle A_{ij}, V\rangle g^{ki}g^{jl}A_{kl}, V\rangle. \quad (11)$$

On the other hand, since $[V, (\frac{X-x_0}{2t_0})^{\top}]$ is tangent to Σ_s, it follows that

$$\langle\overline{\nabla}^{\top}_V V, \frac{X-x_0}{2t_0}\rangle = -\langle V, \overline{\nabla}_V(\frac{X-x_0}{2t_0})^{\top}\rangle = -\langle V, \overline{\nabla}_{(\frac{X-x_0}{2t_0})^{\top}}V\rangle. \quad (12)$$

Using $\frac{\partial X_s}{\partial s} = V$, $\frac{\partial t_s^{-1}}{\partial s} = -\tau t_s^{-2}$ and $\frac{\partial x_s}{\partial s} = y$, we simplify

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\langle V, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(H_s + \frac{X_s - x_s}{2t_s})\Big|_{s=0}\rangle - \langle V', H + \frac{X-x_0}{2t_0}\rangle\\
=&-\langle V, \frac{\partial H_s}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0}\rangle - \langle V, \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\frac{X_s - x_s}{2t_s})\Big|_{s=0}\rangle - \langle\overline{\nabla}^{\perp}_V V, H + \frac{X-x_0}{2t_0}\rangle - \langle\overline{\nabla}^{\top}_V V, \frac{X-x_0}{2t_0}\rangle\\
=&-\langle V, L^{\perp}_{x_0,t_0}V\rangle - \langle\overline{\nabla}^{\perp}_V V, H + \frac{X-x_0}{2t_0}\rangle + \langle V, \frac{y}{2t_0}\rangle + \frac{\tau}{2t_0^2}\langle V, X - x_0\rangle,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality is from (11), (12), and the definition of $L^{\perp}_{x_0,t_0}$. The second term in (10) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\frac{|X_s - x_s|^2}{4t_s^2} - \frac{n}{2t_s})\Big|_{s=0} &= \frac{\langle X - x_0, V - y\rangle}{2t_0^2} - \frac{\tau|X-x_0|^2}{2t_0^3} + \frac{n\tau}{2t_0^2}\\
&= \frac{\langle X - x_0, V\rangle}{2t_0^2} - \frac{|X-x_0|^2 - nt_0}{2t_0^3}\tau - \frac{\langle X - x_0, y\rangle}{2t_0^2}.
\end{aligned}$$

For the third term in (10), observe that

$$\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial s}(\frac{X_s - x_s}{2t_s})\Big|_{s=0}, y\rangle = \langle \frac{V}{2t_0}, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2t_0} - \frac{\tau}{2t_0^2}\langle X - x_0, y\rangle.$$

Combining these gives the theorem. $\square$

2.4 The second variation formula at a critical point

For convenience, from now on we denote $D^2_{(V,y,\tau)}F$ as $\frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial s^2}(\Sigma, 0, 1)$ in (9). When $(\Sigma, 0, 1)$ is a critical point of F, we have $H = -\frac{X^\perp}{2}$, the second variation formula of F at the point can be simplified as the following equation (13).

Theorem 3. *Let Σ be a complete manifold without boundary which has polynomial volume growth. Suppose that Σ_s is a normal variation of Σ, x_s, t_s are variations of $x_0 = 0$ and $t_0 = 1$, and*

$$\frac{\partial \Sigma_s}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0} = V, \quad \frac{\partial x_s}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0} = y, \quad \frac{\partial t_s}{\partial s}\Big|_{s=0} = \tau,$$

where V has compact support. If $(\Sigma, 0, 1)$ is a critical point of F, then

$$\begin{aligned} &D^2_{(V,y,\tau)}F \\ =&\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}^n}\int_\Sigma \Big(-\langle V, L^\perp V\rangle - 2\tau\langle H, V\rangle - \tau^2|H|^2 + \langle V, y\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|y^\perp|^2\Big)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu. \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

Here the operator $L^\perp = L^\perp_{0,1}$, and

$$L^\perp V = \Delta^\perp V + \langle A_{ij}, V\rangle g^{ki}g^{jl}A_{kl} + \frac{V}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^\perp_{X^\top}V. \tag{14}$$

Proof. Since $(\Sigma, 0, 1)$ is a critical point of F, by (3) we have that

$$H = -\frac{X^\perp}{2}. \tag{15}$$

It follows from (7) that

$$\int_\Sigma X e^{\frac{-|X|^2}{4}} = \overrightarrow{0} = \int_\Sigma X|X|^2 e^{\frac{-|X|^2}{4}} \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Sigma (|X|^2 - 2n)e^{\frac{-|X|^2}{4}} = 0. \tag{16}$$

Theorem 2 (with $x_0 = 0$ and $t_0 = 1$) gives

$$D^2_{(V,y,\tau)}F = (4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_\Sigma \Big(- \langle V, L^\perp V\rangle + \tau\langle X, V\rangle + \langle V, y\rangle - \frac{(|X|^2 - n)\tau^2}{2} - \frac{|y|^2}{2} \\ - \tau\langle X, y\rangle + \{\tau(\frac{|X|^2}{4} - \frac{n}{2}) + \langle \frac{X}{2}, y\rangle\}^2\Big) e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu,$$

where we use (15) and (16) to conclude the vanishing of a few terms in (9). Note that y is a constant vector and τ is a constant. Squaring out the last term of $D^2_{(V,y,\tau)}F$ and using (15) and (16) again leads to

$$D^2_{(V,y,\tau)}F =(4\pi)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_\Sigma \Big(-\langle V, L^\perp V\rangle - 2\tau\langle H, V\rangle + \langle V, y\rangle - \frac{|y|^2}{2} \\ + \tau^2(\frac{|X|^2}{4} - \frac{n}{2})^2 + \frac{1}{4}\langle X, y\rangle^2 - \frac{(|X|^2 - n)\tau^2}{2}\Big) e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu.$$

Using the equality (6) and Stokes' theorem, we have that

$$\int_\Sigma \tau^2(\frac{|X|^2}{4} - \frac{n}{2})^2 e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = \int_\Sigma \tau^2 \frac{|X^\top|^2}{4} e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu.$$

Combining (7) and (8), the second variation $D^2_{(V,y,\tau)}F$ can be further simplified as

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}^n} \int_\Sigma \Big(-\langle V, L^\perp V\rangle - 2\tau\langle H, V\rangle - \tau^2|H|^2 + \langle V, y\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|y^\perp|^2\Big) e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu.$$

□

In [5], Colding and Minicozzi defined the following concept.

Definition 4. A critical point $(\Sigma, 0, 1)$ of F is *F-stable* if for every compactly supported smooth variation Σ_s with $\Sigma_0 = \Sigma$ and $\frac{\partial \Sigma_s}{\partial s}\big|_{s=0} = V$, there exist variations x_s of 0 and t_s of 1 such that $D^2_{(V,y,\tau)}F \geq 0$, where $y = \frac{\partial x_s}{\partial s}\big|_{s=0}$ and $\tau = \frac{\partial t_s}{\partial s}\big|_{s=0}$.

Remark 1. *When Σ is fixed, i.e. $V = 0$, from (13), we can see that the second variation formula of F is nonpositive under any variations of x_s and t_s.*

3 An equivalent condition for F-stability

Starting from this section, we assume that Σ satisfies $H = -\frac{1}{2}X^{\perp}$.

3.1 Vector-valued eigenfunctions and eigenvalues of $L^{\perp}$

From equation (13), the second order operator $L^{\perp}$ is the important term of second variation of F. When Σ is a hypersurface with $h = \frac{\langle X, \mathbf{n}\rangle}{2}$, Colding and Minicozzi [5] showed that the mean curvature function h and the translations $\langle y, \mathbf{n}\rangle$ are eigenfunctions of L with eigenvalues 1 and $\frac{1}{2}$, respectively. Here y is a constant vector in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, $\mathbf{n}$ is the outer unit normal vector of Σ, and

$$Lf = \Delta f + |A|^2 f + \frac{1}{2}f - \frac{1}{2}\langle X, \nabla f\rangle.$$

This property can also be generalized to the higher co-dimensional case.

Proposition 4. *Assume that $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a smooth submanifold satisfying $H = -\frac{X^{\perp}}{2}$, then the mean curvature vector H and the normal part $y^{\perp}$ of a constant vector field y are vector-valued eigenfunctions of $L^{\perp}$ with*

$$L^{\perp}H = H \quad \text{and} \quad L^{\perp}y^{\perp} = \frac{1}{2}y^{\perp}, \tag{17}$$

where $L^{\perp}$ is as in (14). Moreover, if Σ is compact, then $L^{\perp}$ is self-adjoint in the weighted space defined in Definition 2 and

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\Sigma}\langle V_1, L^{\perp}V_2\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu \\
&= \int_{\Sigma}\Big(\langle \nabla^{\perp}V_1, \nabla^{\perp}V_2\rangle - \langle A_{ij}, V_1\rangle\langle A_{kl}, V_2\rangle g^{ik}g^{jl} - \frac{1}{2}\langle V_1, V_2\rangle\Big)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu. \qquad (18)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. Fix $p \in \Sigma$ and choose an orthonormal frame $\{e_i\}$ such that $\nabla_{e_i}e_j(p) = 0$, $g_{ij} = \delta_{ij}$ in a neighborhood of p. Using $H = -\frac{1}{2}X^{\perp}$, we have

$$\nabla^{\perp}_{e_i}H = \nabla^{\perp}_{e_i}(-\frac{1}{2}X^{\perp}) = \frac{1}{2}\nabla^{\perp}_{e_i}(\langle X, e_j\rangle e_j - X) = \frac{1}{2}\langle X, e_j\rangle A_{ij}. \tag{19}$$

In the second equality of (19), we used $X^{\top} = \langle X, e_j\rangle e_j$. Taking another covariant derivative at p, it gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla^{\perp}_{e_k}\nabla^{\perp}_{e_i}H &= \frac{1}{2}(\nabla_{e_k}\langle X, e_j\rangle)A_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\langle X, e_j\rangle\nabla^{\perp}_{e_k}A_{ij} \\
&= \frac{1}{2}A_{ik} + \frac{1}{2}\langle X, A_{kj}\rangle A_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\langle X, e_j\rangle\nabla^{\perp}_{e_j}A_{ik}, \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (19), $\nabla_{e_k} e_j(p) = 0$, and the Codazzi equation in the last equality. Taking the trace of (20) and using $H = -\frac{1}{2}X^\perp$, we conclude that

$$\Delta^\perp H = \frac{1}{2}H - \langle H, A_{ij}\rangle A_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^\perp_{X^\top} H.$$

Therefore,

$$L^\perp H = \Delta^\perp H + \langle A_{ij}, H\rangle A_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}H - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^\perp_{X^\top} H = H.$$

For a constant vector y in $\mathbb{R}^m$, the covariant derivative of $y^\perp$ is

$$\nabla^\perp_{e_i} y^\perp = \nabla^\perp_{e_i}(y - \langle y, e_j\rangle e_j) = -\langle y, e_j\rangle A_{ij}. \tag{21}$$

Taking another covariant derivative at p, it gives

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla^\perp_{e_k}\nabla^\perp_{e_i} y^\perp &= -(\nabla_{e_k}\langle y, e_j\rangle)A_{ij} - \langle y, e_j\rangle \nabla^\perp_{e_k} A_{ij} \\ &= -\langle y, A_{kj}\rangle A_{ij} - \langle y, e_j\rangle \nabla^\perp_{e_j} A_{ki},\end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

by $\nabla_{e_k} e_j(p) = 0$ and the Codazzi equation. Taking the trace of (22) and using (19), (21), we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta^\perp y^\perp &= -\langle y, A_{ij}\rangle A_{ij} - \langle y, e_j\rangle \nabla^\perp_{e_j} H \\ &= -\langle y^\perp, A_{ij}\rangle A_{ij} - \frac{1}{2}\langle y, e_j\rangle\langle X, e_i\rangle A_{ij} \\ &= -\langle y^\perp, A_{ij}\rangle A_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\langle X, e_i\rangle \nabla^\perp_{e_i} y^\perp \\ &= -\langle y^\perp, A_{ij}\rangle A_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^\perp_{X^\top} y^\perp.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$L^\perp y^\perp = \Delta^\perp y^\perp + \langle A_{ij}, y^\perp\rangle A_{ij} + \frac{1}{2}y^\perp - \frac{1}{2}\nabla^\perp_{X^\top} y^\perp = \frac{1}{2}y^\perp.$$

The equation (18) follows from the divergence theorem that

$$\int_\Sigma \langle \Delta^\perp V_1, V_2\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu + \int_\Sigma \langle \nabla^\perp V_1, \nabla^\perp V_2\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu - \frac{1}{2}\int_\Sigma \langle \nabla^\perp_{X^\top} V_1, V_2\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = 0.$$

$\square$

In the case that Σ is compact, since the operator $L^{\perp}$ is self-adjoint in the weighted inner product space with respect to Σ and $L^{\perp}H = H$, $L^{\perp}y^{\perp} = \frac{1}{2}y^{\perp}$, we have

$$\langle H, y^{\perp}\rangle_e = \langle L^{\perp}H, y^{\perp}\rangle_e = \langle H, L^{\perp}y^{\perp}\rangle_e = \frac{1}{2}\langle H, y^{\perp}\rangle_e.$$

Hence $\langle H, y^{\perp}\rangle_e = 0$, for any constant vector y. Since $\langle H, y^{\perp}\rangle_e = \langle H, y\rangle_e$, it gives

$$\int_{\Sigma} He^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu = \overrightarrow{0}. \tag{23}$$

When Σ is complete, (23) is still true provided that Σ has polynomial volume growth and the second fundamental form of Σ is of polynomial growth.

3.2 An equivalent condition

In the following theorems, we give an equivalent condition for $F(\Sigma, 0, 1)$ to be stable. It is inspired by the proof of Lemma 4.23 of Colding and Minicozzi in [5].

Theorem 4. *Suppose $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is an n-dimensional smooth closed self-shrinker, $H = -\frac{X^{\perp}}{2}$. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) Σ is F-stable.

(ii) $\int_{\Sigma}\langle V, -L^{\perp}V\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu \geq 0$ for any smooth normal vector field V which satisfies

$$\int_{\Sigma}\langle V, H\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu = 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad \int_{\Sigma} Ve^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu = \overrightarrow{0}. \tag{24}$$

Proof. (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii)

Assume the contrary that there is a smooth normal vector field V satisfying (24) but with $\int_{\Sigma}\langle V, -L^{\perp}V\rangle e^{\frac{-|X|^2}{4}}d\mu < 0$. For any real value τ and constant vector y in $\mathbb{R}^m$, using (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^2_{(V,y,\tau)}F\\
=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}^n}\int_{\Sigma}\left(-\langle V, L^{\perp}V\rangle - 2\tau\langle H, V\rangle - \tau^2|H|^2 + \langle V, y\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|y^{\perp}|^2\right)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu\\
=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}^n}\int_{\Sigma}\left(-\langle V, L^{\perp}V\rangle - \tau^2|H|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|y^{\perp}|^2\right)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu\\
<&0,
\end{aligned}$$

where the second equality follows from the conditions (24). This contradicts the stability of F.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ *(i)*

The space

$$N_{tr} = \{y^{\perp} | y \in \mathbb{R}^m\}$$

is a Hilbert space with the weighted inner product that is spanned by $E_1^{\perp}, ..., E_m^{\perp}$ where $\{E_i\}$ is the standard basis in $\mathbb{R}^m$. Given a smooth normal vector field V, it can be decomposed as $aH + z^{\perp} + V_0$. Here aH and $z^{\perp}$ are the projections of V to H and N_{tr}, respectively. Note that V_0 is a smooth normal vector field satisfying (24). For any real value τ and constant vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^m$, by plugging the decomposition of V into (13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&D^2_{(V,y,\tau)}F \\
=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}^n}\int_{\Sigma}\left(-\langle V, L^{\perp}V\rangle - 2\tau\langle H, V\rangle - \tau^2|H|^2 + \langle V, y\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|y^{\perp}|^2\right)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu \\
=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}^n}\int_{\Sigma}\Big(-a^2|H|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|z^{\perp}|^2 - \langle V_0, L^{\perp}V_0\rangle - 2\tau a|H|^2 - \tau^2|H|^2 \\
&+\langle z^{\perp}, y^{\perp}\rangle - \frac{1}{2}|y^{\perp}|^2\Big)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu \\
\geq&\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}^n}\int_{\Sigma}\left(-|H|^2(a+\tau)^2 - \frac{1}{2}|z^{\perp} - y^{\perp}|^2\right)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu,
\end{aligned}$$

where the condition (ii) is used in the last inequality. Choosing $\tau = -a$ and $y = z$, it gives $D^2_{(V,z,-a)}F \geq 0$. That is, Σ is F-stable. $\square$

For the complete case, we define

$$S_{\Sigma} = \{V \in N\Sigma \big| \ |V|(X) \text{ and } |\nabla^{\perp}V|(X) \text{ are of polynomial growth }\}.$$

Note that $V \in S_{\Sigma}$ might not be of compact support. We can also find the following equivalent condition for the stability of F in the complete case.

Theorem 5. *Let $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ be an n-dimensional smooth complete self-shrinker, $H = -\frac{X^{\perp}}{2}$, without boundary. Suppose that the second fundamental form A of Σ is of polynomial growth and Σ has polynomial volume growth. The following statements are equivalent:*

(i) Σ is F-stable.

(ii) $\int_\Sigma \langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu \geq 0$ *for any smooth normal vector field* V *in* S_Σ *which satisfies*

$$\int_\Sigma \langle V, H\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \int_\Sigma V e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = \overrightarrow{0}.$$

Remark 2. *When* $V \in S_\Sigma$*,* A *is of polynomial growth, and* Σ *has polynomial volume growth, the integral* $\int_\Sigma \left(|\nabla^\perp V|^2 - |\langle A, V\rangle|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|V|^2\right) e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu$ *is finite. By divergence theorem, we have*

$$\langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle_e = \int_\Sigma \left(|\nabla^\perp V|^2 - |\langle A, V\rangle|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|V|^2\right) e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu. \tag{25}$$

Proof of Theorem 5. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$

Assume the contrary that there is a smooth normal vector field V in S_Σ satisfying

$$\langle V, H\rangle_e = 0, \quad \int_\Sigma V e^{\frac{-|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = \overrightarrow{0}, \quad \text{and } \langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle_e < 0. \tag{26}$$

Here V may not have a compact support. For $j \in \mathbb{N}$, consider smooth functions $\phi_j : \mathbb{R}^+ \bigcup\{0\} \to \mathbb{R}$ that satisfy $0 \leq \phi_j \leq 1$, $\phi_j \equiv 1$ on $[0, j)$, $\phi_j \equiv 0$ outside $[0, j+2)$ and $|\phi_j'| \leq 1$. Define cutoff functions $\psi_j(X) = \phi_j(\rho(X))$, $X \in \Sigma$, where $\rho(X)$ is the distance function from a fixed point $p \in \Sigma$ to X with respect to the metric g_{ij}. Let $V_j(X) = \psi_j(X)V(X)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\nabla^\perp V_j|^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^n |(\nabla_{e_i}\psi_j)V + \psi_j \nabla^\perp_{e_i} V|^2 \\ &\leq 2|\nabla\psi_j|^2|V|^2 + 2|\psi_j|^2|\nabla^\perp V|^2 \\ &\leq 2|V|^2 + 2|\nabla^\perp V|^2.\end{aligned}$$

Here $\{e_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_X\Sigma$. Using (25), (26), and the dominant convergence theorem, it follows that

$$\lim_{j\to\infty} \langle V_j, -L^\perp V_j\rangle_e = \langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle_e \text{ and } \lim_{j\to\infty} \langle V_j, H\rangle_e = \lim_{j\to\infty} \langle V_j, y^\perp\rangle_e = 0.$$

For any small positive ϵ, choose a sufficiently large j such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle V_j, -L^\perp V_j\rangle_e < \frac{1}{2}\langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle_e < 0, \\ &|\langle V_j, H\rangle_e| < \epsilon |H|_e, \quad \text{and} \quad \max_{|y^\perp|_e = 1} |\langle V_j, y^\perp\rangle_e| < \epsilon.\end{aligned}$$

For any real value τ and constant vector y in $\mathbb{R}^m$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&D^2F_{(V_j,y,\tau)}\\
=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}^n}\int_\Sigma\left(-\langle V_j,L^\perp V_j\rangle-2\tau\langle H,V_j\rangle-\tau^2|H|^2+\langle V_j,y^\perp\rangle-\frac{1}{2}|y^\perp|^2\right)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu\\
<&\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}^n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle V,L^\perp V\rangle_e+2\tau\epsilon|H|_e-\tau^2|H|_e^2+\epsilon|y^\perp|_e-\frac{1}{2}|y^\perp|_e^2\right)\\
=&\frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi}^n}\left(-\frac{1}{2}\langle V,L^\perp V\rangle_e+\epsilon^2-(\tau|H|_e-\epsilon)^2+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon^2-\frac{1}{2}(|y^\perp|_e-\epsilon)^2\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Choosing $\epsilon^2<\frac{1}{10}\langle V,L^\perp V\rangle_e$, we get $D^2F_{(V_j,y,\tau)}<0$ for every τ and y. This contradicts the stability of F.

$(ii)\Rightarrow(i)$

A compactly supported smooth normal vector field V can be decomposed as $aH+z^\perp+V_0$, where V_0, H, and N_{tr} are mutually orthogonal with respect to the weighted inner product. Because V, H, and $z^\perp$ belong to S_Σ and S_Σ is a linear vector space, V_0 belongs to S_Σ, too. The remaining part of the proof is essentially the same as the proof of $(ii)\Rightarrow(i)$ in Theorem 4. ☐

We immediately have the following corollaries.

Corollary 1. *Suppose $\Sigma_i^{n_i}\subset\mathbb{R}^{m_i}$, $i=1,2$, are smooth closed self-shrinkers which satisfy $H_i=-\frac{X_i^\perp}{2}$, where X_i are the position vectors of Σ_i. Then $\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_2\subset\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2}$ is a self-shrinker and is F-unstable.*

Proof. The mean curvature H of $\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_2$ is expressed as $(H_1,H_2)\in\mathbb{R}^{m_1}\times\mathbb{R}^{m_2}$ and $\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_2$ is a self-shrinker because $H_1=-\frac{X_1^\perp}{2}$ and $H_2=-\frac{X_2^\perp}{2}$. To prove this corollary, by Theorem 4, it suffices to construct a smooth normal vector field V such that (24) holds while $\int_\Sigma\langle V,-L^\perp V\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu<0$. Let $V=(aH_1,bH_2)$, where a and b would be chosen later. Note that V is not vanish since Σ_1 and Σ_2 are closed submanifolds in Euclidean spaces. The first integral in (24) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_2}\langle V,H\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu\\
=&\int_{\Sigma_1}\int_{\Sigma_2}(a|H_1|^2+b|H_2|^2)e^{-\frac{|X_1|^2}{4}}e^{-\frac{|X_2|^2}{4}}d\mu_2d\mu_1\\
=&a\int_{\Sigma_1}|H_1|^2e^{-\frac{|X_1|^2}{4}}d\mu_1\int_{\Sigma_2}e^{-\frac{|X_2|^2}{4}}d\mu_2+b\int_{\Sigma_1}e^{-\frac{|X_1|^2}{4}}d\mu_1\int_{\Sigma_2}|H_2|^2e^{-\frac{|X_2|^2}{4}}d\mu_2.
\end{aligned}
$$

We can choose a and b to be nonzero constants such that $\int_{\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_2}\langle V,H\rangle e^{-\frac{|X^2|}{4}}d\mu=0$. The second integral $\int_{\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_2}Ve^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu$ in (24) is equal to $\overrightarrow{0}$ because of the equation (23). The weighted inner product $\langle V,-L^{\perp}V\rangle_e$ can be computed as

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_2}\langle V,-L^{\perp}V\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu\\ =&\int_{\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_2}\langle(aH_1,bH_2),-(aH_1,bH_2)\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu\\ =&-a^2\int_{\Sigma_1}|H_1|^2e^{-\frac{|X_1|^2}{4}}d\mu_1\int_{\Sigma_2}e^{-\frac{|X_2|^2}{4}}d\mu_2-b^2\int_{\Sigma_1}e^{-\frac{|X_1|^2}{4}}d\mu_1\int_{\Sigma_2}|H_2|^2e^{-\frac{|X_2|^2}{4}}d\mu_2\\ <&0.\end{aligned}$$

Here the first equality follows from the fact that $L^{\perp}$ splits to $L_1^{\perp}$ and $L_2^{\perp}$, and the equation (17). ☐

Corollary 2. *Let $\Sigma_i^{n_i}\subset\mathbb{R}^{m_i}$, $i=1,2$, be two smooth complete self-shrinkers without boundary which satisfy $H_i=-\frac{X_i^{\perp}}{2}\neq 0$, where X_i is the position vector of Σ_i. Suppose that each Σ_i has polynomial volume growth and the second fundamental form of each Σ_i is of polynomial growth. Then $\Sigma_1\times\Sigma_2\subset\mathbb{R}^{m_1+m_2}$ is a self-shrinker and is F-unstable.*

Using Theorem 5, the proof of Corollary 2 is similar to the proof of Corollary 1.

4 The unstability of Anciaux's examples

4.1 Anciaux's examples

Let $\langle\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}dz_i\otimes d\overline{z}_i$ be the standard Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^n$, where $z_i=x_i+\sqrt{-1}y_i$, $i=1,...,n$ are the standard complex coordinates. The standard Riemannian metric is $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle=\mathrm{Re}\langle\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}(dx_i^2+dy_i^2)$ and the symplectic form is $\omega(\cdot,\cdot)=-\mathrm{Im}\langle\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle\rangle=\sum_{i=1}^{n}dx_i\wedge dy_i$. We have $\omega(\cdot,\cdot)=\langle J\cdot,\cdot\rangle$, where J is the standard almost complex structure $J(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i})=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i}$ and $J(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_i})=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$.

Recall that an immersion ψ from a manifold M of dimension $(n-1)$ into $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ is said to be *Legendrian* if $\alpha|_{\psi(M)}=0$ for the contact 1-form $\alpha(\cdot)=$

$\omega(X^M, \cdot)$, where X^M is the position vector and ω is the standard symplectic form on $\mathbb{C}^n$. Moreover, $d\alpha = 2\omega$ and $\langle Jy, z\rangle = \omega(y, z) = \frac{1}{2}d\alpha(y, z) = 0$, $\langle JX^M, y\rangle = \omega(X^M, y) = \alpha(y) = 0$ for all y, $z \in T\psi(M)$. It means that y, Jz, X^M, and JX^M are mutually orthogonal with respect to the standard metric g for any y, $z \in T\psi(M)$. When ψ is a minimal immersion, the complex scalar product $\gamma\psi$ of a smooth regular curve $\gamma : I \to \mathbb{C}^*$ and ψ is a Lagrangian submanifold in $\mathbb{C}^n$, i.e., $\omega|_{\gamma\psi} \equiv 0$. This was observed by Anciaux in [2]. Indeed, he proved by following Lemma.

Lemma 1. *[2] Let $\psi : M \to \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ be a minimal Legendrian immersion and $\gamma : I \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a smooth regular curve parameterized by the arclength s. Then the following immersion*

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma * \psi : I \times M &\to \mathbb{C}^n \\ (s, \sigma) &\to \gamma(s)\psi(\sigma) \end{aligned}$$

is a Lagrangian. Moreover, $\gamma * \psi$ satisfies the self-shrinker equation

$$H + \frac{1}{2}(\gamma * \psi)^{\perp} = 0$$

if and only if γ satisfies the following system of ordinary differential equations:

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} r'(s) &= \cos(\theta - \phi), \\ \theta'(s) - \phi'(s) &= (\tfrac{r}{2} - \tfrac{n}{r}) \sin(\theta - \phi), \end{aligned} \right. \tag{27}$$

where the curve γ is denoted as $r(s)e^{i\phi(s)}$ and θ is the angle of the tangent and the x-axis. From (27), we have a conservation law

$$r^n e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} \sin(\theta - \phi) = E, \tag{28}$$

where $0 < E \leq E_{max} = (\frac{2n}{e})^{n/2}$ is a constant determined by the initial data $(r(s_0), \theta(s_0) - \phi(s_0))$.

4.2 The unstability for general variations

Because the complete noncompact Lagrangian examples constructed by Anciaux in [2] do not have polynomial volume growth, the F-functional is not well-defined and hence we will only discuss the closed cases. That is, the corresponding curves γ are closed and the immersions $\psi : M \to \mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ are closed.

Theorem 6. *Fix $n \geq 2$. Let Σ be the image of the immersion $\gamma(s) * \psi(\sigma)$ in Lemma 1. If Σ is closed, then Σ is F-unstable.*

To prove the result, we first set up the notations and derive a few Lemmas. For a fixed point $p \in \Sigma = \gamma * \psi(I \times M)$, it can be represented by $\gamma(s_0)q$ for some $s_0 \in I$ and $q \in \psi(M)$. Choose a local normal coordinate system $x^1, ..., x^{n-1}$ at q. Denote $u_s = \frac{\partial X}{\partial s} = \gamma' X^M$, $e_i = \frac{\partial X^M}{\partial x^i}$, and $u_i = \frac{\partial X}{\partial x^i} = \gamma e_i$ for $i = 1, ..., n-1$, where X^M is the position vector of $\psi(M)$ and $X = \gamma X^M$. The matrix $(g_{\alpha\beta})$ of the induced metric of Σ with respect to the basis $u_1, ..., u_{n-1}, u_s$ is

$$g_{ss} = 1, \quad g_{js} = g_{sj} = 0, \quad g_{jk} = r^2 h_{jk}, \quad \text{and} \quad h_{jk}(q) = \delta_{jk} \tag{29}$$

for $j, k = 1, ..., n-1$. The Levi-Civita connections on Σ and $\psi(M)$ are denoted by ∇ and ∇^M, respectively. Define

$$N_0 = \{V | V = J(\gamma w),\ w \in \Gamma(T\psi(M))\}.$$

For $V \in N_0$, the operator $\langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle_e$ can be simplified as below.

Lemma 2. *Assume that Σ is a closed Lagrangian self-shrinker as in Lemma 1 and $V \in N_0$ is represented by $J(\gamma w)$. The second fundamental forms of Σ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and $\psi(M)$ in $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ are denoted by A^Σ and $A^{M,\mathbb{S}}$, respectively. Then we have*

$$\textit{(i)} \quad |\langle A^\Sigma, V\rangle|^2 = |\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, Jw\rangle|^2 + 2\sin^2(\theta - \phi)|w|^2, \tag{30}$$

$$\textit{(ii)} \quad |\nabla^\perp V|^2 = |\nabla^M w|^2 + 2\cos^2(\theta - \phi)|w|^2, \tag{31}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\textit{(iii)}\ \langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle_e = &-\int_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 - 2 + 4\sin^2(\theta - \phi)\right) e^{\frac{-r^2}{4}} r^{n-1} ds \int_M |w|^2 d\mu_M \\ &+ \int_\gamma e^{\frac{-r^2}{4}} r^{n-1} ds \int_M \left(|\nabla^M w|^2 - |\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, Jw\rangle|^2\right) d\mu_M. \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Proof. (i) For $V \in N_0$, it can be represented by $J(\gamma w)$ for some vector field $w \in \Gamma(T\psi(M))$. Using $\gamma\overline{\gamma} = r^2$ and $\gamma'\overline{\gamma} = re^{i(\theta - \phi)}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle A^\Sigma_{kl}, V\rangle &= \mathrm{Re}\langle\langle \gamma \frac{\partial^2 X^M}{\partial x^k \partial x^l}, J(\gamma w)\rangle\rangle = r^2 \mathrm{Re}\langle\langle A^M_{kl}, Jw\rangle\rangle = r^2 \langle A^{M,S}_{kl}, Jw\rangle, \\
\langle A^\Sigma_{ks}, V\rangle &= \mathrm{Re}\langle\langle \gamma' \frac{\partial X^M}{\partial x^k}, J(\gamma w)\rangle\rangle = r\sin(\theta - \phi)\langle e_k, w\rangle, \\
\langle A^\Sigma_{ss}, V\rangle &= \mathrm{Re}\langle\langle \gamma'' X^M, J(\gamma w)\rangle\rangle = \mathrm{Re}(\gamma''\overline{\gamma}\langle\langle X^M, Jw\rangle\rangle) = 0
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

for $k, l = 1, .., n-1$. Here the second equalities of the second and third equations of (33) are followed by the fact that e_k, Jw, X^M, and JX^M are mutually orthogonal. Combining (29) and (33), it gives

$$\begin{aligned}|\langle A^\Sigma, V\rangle|^2 =&\sum_{k,l=1}^{n-1}\langle A^\Sigma_{kl}, V\rangle^2\frac{1}{r^4} + 2\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\langle A^\Sigma_{ks}, V\rangle^2\frac{1}{r^2} + \langle A^\Sigma_{ss}, V\rangle^2\\ =&|\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, Jw\rangle|^2 + 2\sin^2(\theta-\phi)|w|^2 \qquad \text{at } p.\end{aligned}$$

(ii) Since Σ is a Lagrangian, $\{Ju_\alpha\}_{\alpha=1,\ldots,n-1,s}$ is an orthogonal basis at p for the normal bundle. We will calculate the normal projection of $(\nabla^\perp_{u_\alpha} J(\gamma w))_{\alpha=1,\ldots,n-1,s}$ on Ju_j and Ju_s. Using the property that w, Je_k, X^M, and JX^M are mutually orthogonal, $\gamma\overline{\gamma} = r^2$ and $\gamma'\overline{\gamma} = re^{i(\theta-\phi)}$, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle\nabla^\perp_{u_k} J(\gamma w), Ju_j\rangle = \mathrm{Re}\langle\langle i\gamma\tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^k}w, i\gamma e_j\rangle\rangle = r^2\langle\nabla^M_{e_k}w, e_j\rangle\\ &\langle\nabla^\perp_{u_k} J(\gamma w), Ju_s\rangle = -\mathrm{Re}\langle\langle i\gamma w, \tfrac{\partial}{\partial x^k}i\gamma' X^M\rangle\rangle = -r\cos(\theta-\phi)\langle w, e_k\rangle\\ &\langle\nabla^\perp_{u_s} J(\gamma w), Ju_j\rangle = \mathrm{Re}\langle\langle i\gamma' w, i\gamma e_j\rangle\rangle = r\cos(\theta-\phi)\langle w, e_j\rangle\\ &\langle\nabla^\perp_{u_s} J(\gamma w), Ju_s\rangle = \mathrm{Re}\langle\langle i\gamma' w, i\gamma' X^M\rangle\rangle = 0.\end{aligned}\tag{34}$$

From (34), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}&|\nabla^\perp V|^2 = \langle\nabla^\perp_{u_\alpha}J(\gamma w), \nabla^\perp_{u_\beta}J(\gamma w)\rangle g^{\alpha\beta}\\ =&\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\langle\nabla^\perp_{u_k}J(\gamma w), \nabla^\perp_{u_k}J(\gamma w)\rangle\frac{1}{r^2} + \langle\nabla_{u_s}J(\gamma w), \nabla_{u_s}J(\gamma w)\rangle\\ =&\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\langle\nabla^\perp_{u_k}J(\gamma w), \frac{Ju_j}{r}\rangle^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\langle\nabla^\perp_{u_k}J(\gamma w), Ju_s\rangle^2\right)\frac{1}{r^2} + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\langle\nabla^\perp_{u_s}J(\gamma w), \frac{Ju_j}{r}\rangle^2\\ =&\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\langle\nabla^M_{e_k}w, e_j\rangle^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{n-1}2\cos^2(\theta-\phi)\langle w, e_j\rangle^2\\ =&|\nabla^M w|^2 + 2\cos^2(\theta-\phi)|w|^2.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Plugging (30) and (31) into (25), and using $e^{\frac{-|X|^2}{4}}d\mu_\Sigma = e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}r^{n-1}ds\,d\mu_M$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle V, -L^{\perp}V\rangle_e\\
=&\int_{\Sigma}\left(|\nabla^{\perp}V|^2-|\langle A^{\Sigma},V\rangle|^2-\frac{1}{2}|V|^2\right)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu_{\Sigma}\\
=&\int_{\gamma}\int_{M}\Big(|\nabla^{M}w|^2+2\cos^2(\theta-\phi)|w|^2-\big(|\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}},Jw\rangle|^2+2\sin^2(\theta-\phi)|w|^2\big)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}r^2|w|^2\Big)e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}r^{n-1}d\mu_m ds\\
=&-\int_{\gamma}\left(\frac{1}{2}r^2-2+4\sin^2(\theta-\phi)\right)e^{\frac{-r^2}{4}}r^{n-1}ds\int_{M}|w|^2d\mu_M\\
&+\int_{\gamma}e^{\frac{-r^2}{4}}r^{n-1}ds\int_{M}\big(|\nabla^{M}w|^2-|\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}},Jw\rangle|^2\big)d\mu_M.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (iii) is proved. □

To further simplify $\langle V, -L^{\perp}V\rangle_e$, we now derive some integral properties of the curve γ.

Lemma 3. *Let $\gamma : I \to \mathbb{C}^*$ be a closed smooth regular curve parameterized by the arclength s satisfying (27). That is, $\gamma * \psi$ in Lemma 1 define a closed self-shrinker. Then one has*

$$\int_{\gamma}(\frac{1}{2}r^2-n)r^{n-1}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}ds=0 \tag{35}$$

and

$$\int_{\gamma}(\frac{1}{2r^2}-\frac{n}{r^4})r^{n-1}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}ds=-\int_{\gamma}\frac{4\cos^2(\theta-\phi)}{r^4}r^{n-1}e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}ds. \tag{36}$$

Remark 3. *The equality (35) is used to simplify (32) while the equality (36) is used to simplify (50) for Lagrangian variation.*

Proof. Equation (35) follows from the simplification of equation (7) and $\int_M d\mu_M \neq 0$. Indeed, equation (7) becomes

$$0=\int_{\gamma}\int_{M}(r^2-2n)e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}r^{n-1}d\mu_M ds=\int_{\gamma}(r^2-2n)e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}r^{n-1}ds\int_{M}d\mu_M.$$

Recall that the linear operator $\mathcal{L}f = \Delta f - \frac{1}{2}\langle X, \nabla f\rangle = e^{\frac{|X|^2}{4}} div(e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}\nabla f)$ in Proposition 1. It gives

$$\int_\Sigma \mathcal{L}(\frac{1}{|X|^2})e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu_\Sigma = \int_\Sigma div(e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}\nabla\frac{1}{|X|^2})d\mu_\Sigma = 0 \tag{37}$$

since $\partial\Sigma = \emptyset$. On the other hand, using equation (6) and $\nabla|X|^2 = 2X^\top$ gives

$$\mathcal{L}(\frac{1}{|X|^2}) = \frac{-\mathcal{L}|X|^2}{|X|^4} + \frac{2|\nabla|X|^2|^2}{|X|^6} = \frac{-2n+|X|^2}{|X|^4} + \frac{8|X^\top|^2}{|X|^6}. \tag{38}$$

Combining (37), (38), and using $|X^\top| = \mathrm{Re}\left(re^{i(\phi-\theta)}\right) = r\cos(\theta-\phi)$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_\gamma\int_M (\frac{-2n+r^2}{r^4} + \frac{8r^2\cos^2(\theta-\phi)}{r^6})e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}r^{n-1}d\mu_M ds \\ &= \int_\gamma (\frac{-2n+r^2}{r^4} + \frac{8r^2\cos^2(\theta-\phi)}{r^6})e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}r^{n-1}ds\int_M d\mu_M. \end{aligned}$$

Then it get the equation (36) immediately since $\int_M d\mu_M \neq 0$. ☐

Next, we want to find a vector field w_0 in $\Gamma(T\psi(M))$ with nice special properties that will be needed in proving Theorem 6 and Theorem 7.

Lemma 4. *Let $\psi : M^{n-1} \to \mathbb{S}^{2n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ be a minimal Legendrian immersion. Then there exists a nonzero vector field w_0 in $\Gamma(T\psi(M))$ satisfying*

$$\frac{\int_M |\nabla^M w_0|^2 - |\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, Jw_0\rangle|^2 d\mu}{\int_M |w_0|^2 d\mu} \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \langle\nabla^M_x w_0, y\rangle = \langle\nabla^M_y w_0, x\rangle \tag{39}$$

for any $x, y \in T\psi(M)$.

Remark 4. *The condition $\langle\nabla^M_x w_0, y\rangle = \langle\nabla^M_y w_0, x\rangle$ implies that $\frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w_0)$ induces a Lagrangian variation.*

Proof. Define

$$f(y) = \int_M |\nabla^M y|^2 - |\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, Jy\rangle|^2 d\mu$$

for $y \in \Gamma(T\psi(M))$. Let $E_1, ..., E_{2n}$ be the standard basis for $\mathbb{C}^n$ with $E_{\alpha+n} = JE_\alpha$ for $\alpha = 1, ..., n$. We claim that there exists a β_0 in $\{1, ..., 2n\}$ such that

$w_0 = E_{\beta_0}^{\top}$ is a nonzero vector field satisfying $f(w_0) \leq \int_M |w_0|^2 d\mu$, where $E_{\beta_0}^{\top}$ is the projection of E_{β_0} into the tangent space of $\psi(M)$. For fixed $q \in \psi(M)$, choose a local normal coordinate system $x^1, ..., x^{n-1}$ at q. Denote $\partial_j = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^j}$. We have

$$\langle \nabla^M_{\partial_k}(E_\beta^{\top}), \partial_j \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}(E_\beta - E_\beta^{\perp}), \partial_j \rangle = -\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k} E_\beta^{\perp}, \partial_j \rangle = \langle E_\beta, A^M_{jk} \rangle, \quad (40)$$

where $E_\beta^{\perp}$ is the normal part of E_β. Since the map ψ is a Legendrian immersion into $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$, the span $\{\partial_1, ..., \partial_{n-1}, X^M\}$ is a Lagrangian plane in $\mathbb{C}^n$. It gives

$$A^M_{kj} = A^{M,\mathbb{S}}_{kj} + \langle A^M_{kj}, X^M \rangle X^M = A^{M,\mathbb{S}}_{kj} - \delta_{kj} X^M \quad \text{at } q \quad (41)$$

and the second fundamental form $A^{M,\mathbb{S}}_{jk}$ of the submanifold $\psi(M)$ in $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ is orthogonal JX^M because that

$$\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}_{kj}, JX^M \rangle = \langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x^k}(\partial_j), JX^M \rangle = -\langle \partial_j, J\partial_k \rangle = 0.$$

Since ∂_l and X^M are orthogonal, we have $(JA^{M,\mathbb{S}})^{\top} = JA^{M,\mathbb{S}}$. Recall that ψ is a minimal immersion in $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ and hence $H^{M,\mathbb{S}} = 0$. Combining the equations (40) and (41), the first term of $f(E_\beta^{\top})$ can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned} |\nabla^M(E_\beta^{\top})|^2 &= \sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1} |\langle E_\beta, A^{M,\mathbb{S}}_{kj} \rangle - \langle E_\beta, \delta_{kj} X^M \rangle|^2 \\ &= |\langle E_\beta, A^{M,\mathbb{S}} \rangle|^2 - 2\langle E_\beta, H^{M,\mathbb{S}} \rangle \langle E_\beta, X^M \rangle + (n-1)\langle E_\beta, X^M \rangle^2 \\ &= |\langle E_\beta, A^{M,\mathbb{S}} \rangle|^2 + (n-1)\langle E_\beta, X^M \rangle^2 \quad \text{at} \quad q. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

Using the equality $(JA^{M,\mathbb{S}})^{\top} = JA^{M,\mathbb{S}}$, the second term of $f(E_\beta^{\top})$ can be simplified as

$$\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, J(E_\beta^{\top}) \rangle = -\langle JA^{M,\mathbb{S}}, E_\beta^{\top} \rangle = -\langle JA^{M,\mathbb{S}}, E_\beta \rangle = \langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, JE_\beta \rangle. \quad (43)$$

Combining (42) and (43), it gives

$$f(E_\alpha^{\top}) = \int_M \left(|\langle E_\alpha, A^{M,\mathbb{S}} \rangle|^2 + (n-1)\langle E_\alpha, X^M \rangle^2 - |\langle E_{\alpha+n}, A^{M,\mathbb{S}} \rangle|^2 \right) d\mu, \quad (44)$$

$$f(E_{\alpha+n}^{\top}) = \int_M \left(|\langle E_{\alpha+n}, A^{M,\mathbb{S}} \rangle|^2 + (n-1)\langle E_{\alpha+n}, X^M \rangle^2 - |\langle E_\alpha, A^{M,\mathbb{S}} \rangle|^2 \right) d\mu \quad (45)$$

for $\alpha = 1, ..., n$. Summing (44) and (45) over $\alpha = 1, ..., n$ gives

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n} \left(f(E_\alpha^\top) + f(E_{\alpha+n}^\top) \right) = (n-1) \sum_{\beta=1}^{2n} \int_M \langle E_\beta, X^M \rangle^2 d\mu = (n-1) \int_M d\mu \tag{46}$$

since $|X^M| = 1$.

On the other hand, we have $\sum\limits_{\beta=1}^{2n} |E_\beta^\top|^2 = \sum\limits_{\beta=1}^{2n} \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} \langle E_\beta, \partial_j \rangle^2 = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n-1} |\partial_j|^2 = n-1$ at q because $\partial_1, ..., \partial_{n-1}$ is an orthonormal basis for $T_q\psi(M)$. Plugging it into (46), we get

$$\sum_{\beta=1}^{2n} \int_M \left(|\nabla^M (E_\beta^\top)|^2 - |\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, J(E_\beta^\top) \rangle|^2 \right) d\mu = \sum_{\beta=1}^{2n} \int_M |E_\beta^\top|^2 d\mu.$$

Therefore, there exists a β_0 in $\{1, .., 2n\}$ such that $E_{\beta_0}^\top$ is a nonzero vector field and

$$\int_M \left(|\nabla^M (E_{\beta_0}^\top)|^2 - |\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, J(E_{\beta_0}^\top) \rangle|^2 \right) d\mu \leq \int_M |E_{\beta_0}^\top|^2 d\mu.$$

Which is the inequality in (39). Using (40), $\langle E_{\beta_0}, A_{jk}^M \rangle$ is symmetric for j, k, it follows that the vector field $w_0 = E_{\beta_0}^\top$ satisfies both conditions in (39). $\square$

Now we are ready to proved Theorem 6:

Proof of Theorem 6. By Theorem 4, it suffices to construct a smooth normal vector field V such that (24) holds while $\int_\Sigma \langle V, -L^\perp V \rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu < 0$. Assume $V = J(\gamma w)$, where $w \in \Gamma(T\psi(M))$ would be chosen later. Because H is parallel to Ju_s (see [2], p.40), we have $\int_\Sigma \langle V, H \rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = 0$ and the first condition in (24) is satisfied. The second integral in (24) is

$$\int_\Sigma V e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu = i \int_\gamma \gamma e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r^{n-1} ds \int_M w \, d\mu_M.$$

Recall that the construction of γ in [2] is made by $m > 1$ pieces $\Gamma_1, ..., \Gamma_m$ which each corresponds one period of curvature function. (In particular, when γ is the circle $\mathbb{S}^1(\sqrt{2n})$, we take $m = 2$.) Every piece Γ_i is the same as Γ_1 up to a rotation. Suppose the rotation index of γ is l. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_\gamma \gamma e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r^{n-1} ds &= \sum_{j=1}^{m} \int_{\Gamma_j} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r^n e^{i\phi} ds \\ &= \int_{\Gamma_1} e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r^n e^{i\phi}(1 + e^{i\frac{2l\pi}{m}} + ... + e^{i\frac{(m-1)l}{m}\cdot 2\pi}) ds = 0,\end{aligned}$$

since $1 + e^{i\frac{2\pi}{m}} + ... + e^{i\frac{(m-1)l}{m}\cdot 2\pi} = 0$ for $m > 1$. Therefore, the second integral condition in (24) also holds.

For the case $n \geq 3$, we choose $w = w_0$ satisfying (39) and $V_0 = J(\gamma w_0)$. Plugging the first inequality of (39) into (32), the weighted inner product $\langle V_0, -L^\perp V_0\rangle_e$ becomes

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Sigma \langle V_0, -L^\perp V_0\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu \\ \leq& -\int_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 - 3 + 4\sin^2(\theta - \phi)\right) e^{\frac{-r^2}{4}} r^{n-1} ds \int_M |w_0|^2 d\mu_M \\ =& -\int_\gamma \Big(\big(n - 3 + 4\sin^2(\theta-\phi)\big)\Big) e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r^{n-1} ds \int_M |w_0|^2 d\mu_M \\ <&0.\end{aligned}$$

We use (35) to conclude the equality above.

For the case $n = 2$, the only minimal Legendrian curves in $\mathbb{S}^3$ are great circles. They are totally geodesic in $\mathbb{S}^3$. Therefore, the weighted inner product $\langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle_e$ can be simplified as

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_\Sigma \langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu \\ =&\int_\gamma e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r\Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^1} w|^2 - \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 - 2 + 4\sin^2(\theta-\phi)\right) |w|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^1}\Big) ds. \\ =&\int_\gamma e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r\Big(\int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^1} w|^2 - 4\sin^2(\theta-\phi)|w|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^1}\Big) ds.\end{aligned}$$

Here we use (35) again to get the last equality. Finally, by choosing w to be the tangent vector of the great circle, which is a parallel vector field, we can make the weighted inner product negative. □

4.3 The unstability for Lagrangian variations

Since Anciaux's examples are Lagrangian, it is natural to investigate whether these examples are still unstable under the more restricted Lagrangian variations. That is, for variations from the deformation of Lagrangian submanifolds. A simple calculation shows that a vector field V induces a Lagrangian variation if and only if the associated one form $\alpha_V = \omega(V, \cdot)$ is closed, i.e.

$$\langle \nabla^{\perp}_X V, JY\rangle = \langle \nabla^{\perp}_Y V, JX\rangle, \tag{47}$$

where $\nabla^{\perp}$ is the normal connection on $N\Sigma$ and $X,\ Y \in T\Sigma$. For the problem, we can prove

Theorem 7. *Let Σ be an n-dimensional closed Lagrangian self-shrinker as in Lemma 1. Then Σ is F-unstable under Lagrangian variations for the following cases*

(i) *$n = 2$ or $n \geq 7$,*

(ii) *$2 < n < 7$, and $E \in [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}E_{max}, E_{max}]$,*

where E and E_{max} are described in (28).

Because $\langle \nabla^{\perp}_{u_s} V, Ju_j\rangle \neq \langle \nabla^{\perp}_{u_j} V, Ju_s\rangle$ for $V \in N_0$, it does not induce a Lagrangian variation. Thus to prove the theorem, we need to consider variations different from those in §4.2. We now define a new set N_1 as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} N_1 = \{V | V = \frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w),\ \text{where } w \in \Gamma(T\psi(M)) \text{ satisfies} \\ \langle \nabla^M_x w, y\rangle = \langle \nabla^M_y w, x\rangle,\ \text{for all } x, y \in T\psi(M)\}. \end{aligned}$$

For $V \in N_1$, we claim that V satisfies the equation (47) and hence indeed induces a Lagrangian variation. Suppose $V = \frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w)$. Noting that $\gamma' = e^{i\theta}$, $\langle V, Ju_s\rangle = 0$, and r' satisfying (27), we therefore have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nabla^{\perp}_{u_s} V, Ju_j\rangle &= -\frac{2r'}{r^3}\langle J(\gamma w), J(\gamma e_j)\rangle + \frac{1}{r^2}\langle J(\gamma' w), J(\gamma e_j)\rangle \\ &= -\frac{\cos(\theta - \phi)}{r}\langle w, e_j\rangle, \\ \langle \nabla^{\perp}_{u_j} V, Ju_s\rangle &= -\langle V, \nabla^{\perp}_{u_j} Ju_s\rangle = -\frac{1}{r^2}\langle J(\gamma w), J(\gamma' e_j)\rangle = -\frac{\cos(\theta - \phi)}{r}\langle w, e_j\rangle, \\ \langle \nabla^{\perp}_{u_k} V, Ju_j\rangle &= \frac{1}{r^2}\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_k} J(\gamma w), J(\gamma e_j)\rangle = \langle \nabla^M_{e_k} w, e_j\rangle \\ &= \langle \nabla^M_{e_j} w, e_k\rangle = \langle \nabla^{\perp}_{u_j} V, Ju_k\rangle. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the claim.

For $V \in N_1$, the operator $\langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle_e$ can be simplified as in the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Assume that Σ is a closed Lagrangian self-shrinker as in Lemma 1 and $V \in N_1$ is represented by $\frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w)$. The second fundamental forms of Σ in $\mathbb{C}^n$ and of $\psi(M)$ in $\mathbb{S}^{2n-1}$ are denoted by A^Σ and $A^{M,\mathbb{S}}$, respectively. Then we have*

$$(i) \quad |\langle A^\Sigma, V\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{r^4}|\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, Jw\rangle|^2 + \frac{2}{r^4}\sin^2(\theta-\phi)|w|^2, \tag{48}$$

$$(ii) \quad |\nabla^\perp V|^2 = \frac{1}{r^4}|\nabla^M w|^2 + \frac{2\cos^2(\theta-\phi)}{r^4}|w|^2, \tag{49}$$

$$\begin{aligned}(iii)\ \langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle_e = &-\int_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 - 2 + 4\sin^2(\theta-\phi)\right) e^{\frac{-r^2}{4}} r^{n-5} ds \int_M |w|^2 d\mu_M \\ &+ \int_\gamma e^{\frac{-r^2}{4}} r^{n-5} ds \int_M \left(|\nabla^M w|^2 - |\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, Jw\rangle|^2\right) d\mu_M.\end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

Proof. (i) For $V \in N_1$, there exist $V_0 \in N_0$ such that $V = \frac{1}{r^2}V_0 = \frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w)$. Using the equation (30), we have

$$|\langle A^\Sigma, V\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{r^4}|\langle A^\Sigma, V_0\rangle|^2 = \frac{1}{r^4}|\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, Jw\rangle|^2 + \frac{2}{r^4}\sin^2(\theta-\phi)|w|^2.$$

(ii) Using the equations (47) and (34), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \nabla^\perp_{u_k} \frac{1}{r^2} J(\gamma w), Ju_j\rangle &= \frac{1}{r^2}\langle \nabla^\perp_{u_k} J(\gamma w), Ju_j\rangle = \langle \nabla^M_{e_k} w, e_j\rangle \\ \langle \nabla^\perp_{u_k} \frac{1}{r^2} J(\gamma w), Ju_s\rangle &= \frac{1}{r^2}\langle \nabla^\perp_{u_k} J(\gamma w), Ju_s\rangle = -\frac{1}{r}\cos(\theta-\phi)\langle w, e_j\rangle \\ \langle \nabla^\perp_{u_s} \frac{1}{r^2} J(\gamma w), Ju_s\rangle &= \frac{-2r'}{r^3}\langle J(\gamma w), Ju_s\rangle + \frac{1}{r^2}\langle \nabla^\perp_{u_s} J(\gamma w), Ju_s\rangle = 0.\end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

Using (51) and (47), the computation at p gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
&|\nabla^{\perp}V|^2 = \langle \nabla^{\perp}_{u_\alpha}\frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w), \nabla^{\perp}_{u_\beta}\frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w)\rangle g^{\alpha\beta}\\
=&\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\langle \nabla^{\perp}_{u_k}\frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w), \nabla^{\perp}_{u_k}\frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w)\rangle \frac{1}{r^2} + \langle \nabla_{u_s}\frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w), \nabla_{u_s}\frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w)\rangle\\
=&\left(\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\langle \nabla^{\perp}_{u_k}\frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w), \frac{Ju_j}{r}\rangle^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{n-1}\langle \nabla^{\perp}_{u_k}\frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w), Ju_s\rangle^2\right)\frac{1}{r^2}\\
&+\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\langle \nabla^{\perp}_{u_s}\frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w), \frac{Ju_j}{r}\rangle^2\\
=&\frac{1}{r^4}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n-1}\langle \nabla^{M}_{e_k}w, e_j\rangle^2 + \frac{2}{r^4}\sum_{j=1}^{n-1}\cos^2(\theta-\phi)\langle w, e_j\rangle^2\\
=&\frac{1}{r^4}|\nabla^M w|^2 + \frac{2\cos^2(\theta-\phi)}{r^4}|w|^2.
\end{aligned}
$$

(iii) Plugging (48) and (49) into (25), and using $e^{\frac{-|X|^2}{4}}d\mu_\Sigma = e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}r^{n-1}ds\,d\mu_M$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\langle V, -L^{\perp}V\rangle_e\\
=&\int_\Sigma \left(|\nabla^{\perp}V|^2 - |\langle A^\Sigma, V\rangle|^2 - \frac{1}{2}|V|^2\right)e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu_\Sigma\\
=&\int_\gamma\int_M \Big(|\nabla^M w|^2 + 2\cos^2(\theta-\phi)|w|^2 - \big(|\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, Jw\rangle|^2 + 2\sin^2(\theta-\phi)|w|^2\big)\\
&-\frac{1}{2}r^2|w|^2\Big)e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}}r^{n-5}d\mu_m ds\\
=&-\int_\gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 - 2 + 4\sin^2(\theta-\phi)\right)e^{\frac{-r^2}{4}}r^{n-5}ds\int_M |w|^2 d\mu_M\\
&+\int_\gamma e^{\frac{-r^2}{4}}r^{n-5}ds\int_M \left(|\nabla^M w|^2 - |\langle A^{M,\mathbb{S}}, Jw\rangle|^2\right)d\mu_M.
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (iii) is proved. ☐

Proof of Theorem 7. By Theorem 4, it suffices to construct a smooth normal Lagrangian variation V such that $\int_\Sigma\langle V, -L^{\perp}V\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}}d\mu < 0$ while (24) holds.

Assume $V = \frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w) \in N_1$, where $w \in \Gamma(T\psi(M))$ will be chosen later. Similar to the proof of Theorem 6, both the conditions in (24) hold.

We now further specify V, so that $\int_\Sigma \langle V, -L^\perp V\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu < 0$. When $n \geq 3$, we choose $w = w_0$ satisfying (39). Then $V_1 = \frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w_0)$ is in N_1. From (39) and (50), the weighted inner product $\langle V_1, -L^\perp V_1\rangle_e$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Sigma \langle V_1, -L^\perp V_1\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu \\
\leq &-\int_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 - 3 + 4\sin^2(\theta - \phi)\right) e^{\frac{-r^2}{4}} r^{n-5} ds \int_M |w_0|^2 d\mu_M \\
= &-\int_\gamma \Big(\big(n - 3 + 4\sin^2(\theta - \phi) - 4\cos^2(\theta - \phi)\big)\Big) e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r^{n-5} ds \int_M |w_0|^2 d\mu_M,
\end{aligned}
$$

where (36) is used to conclude the above equality. Thus it suffices to show that $f(s) = n-3+4\sin^2(\theta-\phi)-4\cos^2(\theta-\phi)$ is nonnegative and positive at some point. Because $|\cos(\theta - \phi)| \leq 1$, the function f is clearly nonnegative and positive somewhere for $n \geq 7$. When $E \in [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}E_{max}, E_{max}]$, one has $\sin(\theta - \phi) \in [\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}, 1]$ from (28) and hence $f(s)$ is nonnegative and positive somewhere.

In the case $n = 2$, the only minimal Legendrian curves in $\mathbb{S}^3$ are great circles which are totally geodesic. Choosing w_1 to be the tangent vector of the great circle, we have $|\nabla^{\mathbb{S}^1} w_1| = 0$ and $|w_1| = 1$. The vector field $V_1 = \frac{1}{r^2}J(\gamma w_1)$ gives a Lagrangian variation and the weighted inner product $\langle V_1, -L^\perp V_1\rangle_e$ in (50) can be simplified as

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_\Sigma \langle V_1, -L^\perp V_1\rangle e^{-\frac{|X|^2}{4}} d\mu \\
= &-\int_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 - 2 + 4\sin^2(\theta - \phi)\right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r^{-3} ds \int_{\mathbb{S}^1} |w|^2 d\mu_{\mathbb{S}^1} \\
= &-2\pi \int_\gamma \left(\frac{1}{2}r^2 + 2\left(\sin^2(\theta - \phi) - \cos^2(\theta - \phi)\right)\right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r^{-3} ds
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (36), it follows that

$$\int_\gamma \frac{1}{2} r^2 e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r^{-3} ds = \int_\gamma 2\left(\sin^2(\theta - \phi) - \cos^2(\theta - \phi)\right) e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r^{-3} ds.$$

Therefore, $\langle V_1, -L^\perp V_1\rangle_e = -2\pi \int_\gamma r^2 e^{-\frac{r^2}{4}} r^{-3} ds < 0$, and concludes the Lagrangian unstability in Theorem 7. $\square$

References

[1] U. Abresch and J. Langer, The normalized curve shortening flow and homothetic solutions. *J. Differential Geom.* 23 (1986), no. 2, 175-196.

[2] H. Anciaux, Construction of Lagrangian self-similar solutions to the mean curvature flow in $\mathbb{C}^n$. *Geom. Dedicata* 120 (2006), 37-48.

[3] S. Angenent, Shrinking doughnuts, *Nonlinear diffusion equations and their equilibrium states,* Birkhaüser, Boston-Basel-Berlin, 3, 21-38, 1992

[4] S. B. Angenent, D. L. Chopp, and T. Ilmanen. A computed example of nonuniqueness of mean curvature flow in $\mathbb{R}^3$. *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, 20 (1995), no. 11-12, 1937-1958.

[5] T.H. Colding and W.P. Minicozzi, Generic mean curvature flow I; generic singularities, *to appear in Ann. Math.*

[6] Dominic Joyce; Yng-Ing Lee; Mao-Pei Tsui, Self-similar solutions and translating solitions for Lagrangian mean curvature flow. *J Differential Geom.* 84 (2010), no. 1, 127-161.

[7] G. Huisken, Flow by mean curvature of convex surfaces into spheres. *J. Differential Geom.* **20** (1984), no. 1, 237–266.

[8] G. Huisken, Asymptotic behavior for singulairites of the mean curvature flow. *J. Differential Geom.* **31** (1990), no. 1, 285–299.

[9] G. Huisken, Local and global behaviour of hypersurfaces moving by mean curvature. Differential geometry: *partial differential equations on manifolds* (Los Angeles, CA, 1990), *Proc. Sympos. Pure Math.*, **54**, Part 1, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, (1993), 175-191.

[10] T. Ilmanen, *Singularities of mean curvature flow of surfaces*, preprint, 1995, http://www.math.ethz.ch/∼ilmanen/papers/pub.html.

[11] Y.-I. Lee and M.-T. Wang, *Hamiltonian stationary cones and self-similar solutions in higher dimension*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), 1491–1503.

[12] K. Smoczyk, Self-shrinkers of the mean curvature flow in arbitrary codimension, *International Mathematics Research Notices*, **48** (2005), 2983-3004.

[13] A. Stone, A density function and the structure of singularities of the mean curvature flow. Calc. Var. *Partial Differential Equations 2* (1994), no. 4, 443-480.

[14] B. White, A local regularity theorem for classical mean curvature flow. *Ann. of Math.* (2) **161** (2005), no. 3, 1487-1519.